



## LIBRARY EXPANSION TASK FORCE MEETING MINUTES

MARCH 8, 2012, LEDDING LIBRARY POND HOUSE, 6 P.M.

### MEMBERS PRESENT

Fred Bruderlin  
Lisa Batey  
Kathi Scroeder  
Ed Zumwalt  
Jon Stoll  
Tom Hogan  
Paul Klein  
Greg Chaimov  
Scott Churchill

Absent: Mark Docken, Christie Schaeffer

### STAFF PRESENT

Bill Monahan, Katie Newell, Nancy Wittig

### MOTIONS, PROPOSALS, RESOLUTIONS

None

### VOTE RESULTS

None

### SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION

Scott reviewed the recent subcommittee work.

#### Programming:

Paul met with several library staff. He distributed. He feels the earlier 2002 work still has value. On Sunday night he will send it to the subcommittee members and staff for comment. He focused on program spaces and what would happen in these spaces. He estimates a net usable sq. ft. of 12,500 sf. His report has photos to show the overcrowding that exists.

Scott noted the projected need is about double the size. Now, the subcommittee will look at target sizes for programming so a consultant can be obtained to help us determine locations.

Paul will try to complete the work in the next two weeks. Paul needs help from the subcommittee on the “quantities” for each program need in the expansion plan. Paul will work with staff to try to obtain the recent plans of Happy Valley and Gladstone.

#### Site:

Tom reported the subcommittee met twice; including walking the site to measure. The subcommittee came back with four options. The target is 25,000 SF.

#### Schemes:

- A. 2 modules, 2 stories each, the house is removed. Each module is 6,000 SF, it removes 10 parking spaces.
- B. Take down house, add four 3,000 SF modules, one story elevated with parking underneath. This retains parking; adds 12,000 SF. This would have a higher construction cost. Rainy day events could be held too.
- C. Involves tearing down the building; parking to the west and south. A mix with one and two story would yield 25,000 SF.
- D. Parking to the west, removes the house, goes up two stories where the house was. This concept could include separating the addition from the existing structure with different seismic standards.

The committee will review the options, get some cost projections, and then bring in an outside resource. Scott has some pro bono architect (?) who can provide some preliminary assistance to get costs for each scheme.

Questions were posed whether the existing facility can operate under the schemes. There could be additional upgrades planned for the existing library including walls and power upgrades. The existing house is where our offices, book processing, and other functions now take place. The planning for temporary and long term operation requires further study and staff support.

Kristie expressed preference for Scheme A for logistical reasons and staffing. The committee decided that Scheme B is eliminated as it has more impact on neighboring properties. Schemes C & D continue to be options and they provide the opportunity for cost comparisons.

The Committee discussed the phasing with construction challenges that will need to be discussed when a consultant is brought in.

Scott introduced the question of engaging a consultant. He will bring a list of pro bono consultants next time. A consultant could be engaged by May/June; funds are in place from Foundation funds.

The Committee plans to bring a preliminary cost to the board in May; such a consultant to develop firmer numbers, RFP in May, early September, providing Council with the opportunity to decide an appropriate bond measure election date.

Next meeting date – April 26

The site subcommittee will meet on March 22 at 6pm at the Pond House. The programming subcommittee will meet on March 15 at 6 at either the Pond House or Library.

The Committee decided whether monthly survey is needed. It concluded that additional information on options and costs will be needed before a survey can be asked.

**DOCUMENTS REFERENCED**

None

**PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY**

None

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:10 P.M.**